**The President’s Award for Excellence in Impact**

(Policy, Enterprise, Engagement, or Translation impact)

We define Impact as the quantifiable effect of research for the benefit of society and the environment, improving equity, prosperity, health, and wellbeing. Fundamental to delivering impact are the people who enable, support, and deliver research and translation; the strategic partnerships that enhance our capabilities; and the places where we work at home and abroad.

This award seeks to celebrate individuals or Teams whose research endeavours transcend academic boundaries, creating tangible, positive changes in society and the environment while exemplifying Imperial’s values in action.

This award category offers a maximum of two awards, including up to one President’s Medal.

**Excellence should be demonstrated through evidence of the following selection criteria:**

* The development of innovative approaches leading to impactful outcomes on society and/or the environment, showcasing concrete benefits to communities or industries.
* Achieving impact at a local, national or international level.
* Contribution to Imperial: Highlighting how their work enriches Imperial, elevates its stature, and aligns with its strategic objectives.
* External Collaborations: Candidates should show how they have engaged in lasting and meaningful external collaborations that have contributed significantly to advancing research goals, fostering partnerships beyond the academic sphere.
* Engagement with External Partners: Evidence illustrating the influence of their research on external partners, potentially involving policymakers, civic industry leaders.
* Ethical and responsible innovation showcasing a commitment to ethical and responsible innovation, nurturing diversity and inclusivity within their initiatives, ensuring equity and representation.
* Consistent role modelling of the [College values](https://www.imperial.ac.uk/about/values/) and behaviours in everything they do

**Eligibility Criteria**

* Staff in any role across the College are eligible to be nominated (Can include teams).
* Nominations can be made by any Imperial staff member.
* Self-nomination is not permitted for this award category.

**Selection criteria**

Each selection panel member is asked to score every nominee in the award category (with the exception of those with which there is a conflict of interest) and provide a score for each of the criteria presented above and the statements of support. The scores are assigned only on the strength of the evidence presented in the application form in demonstrating that the nominee meets each of the award criteria.

The panel are also asked to consider what makes the nominee stand out and what the impact has been of their actions/research. The statements of support can influence this consideration.

**What makes a good nomination?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Ensure a good case is presented for submission, as the selection panel will only draw on the **evidence presented within the nomination**  | Nominations **without evidence** for the relevant criteria will not be taken into consideration  |
| The submission should be written for a non-expert audience and **specific examples given where appropriate**  | Without detailed **examples** of their work, the selection panel can’t review how the nominee has gone above and beyond their normal remit  |
| Detail positive attitudes and behaviours they have exhibited that is in line with our [College Values](https://www.imperial.ac.uk/about/values/)  | The nomination should not be a cv, instead highlight information on the benefits achieved and how these were **evaluated**  |
| Showcase the real and tangible improvements and **outcomes** of the nominees’ work. What has changed as a result of their involvement/initiative? Include evaluation data as evidence.   | **Feedback** from audiences, students or colleagues can provide additional support for a nomination – this can be included as two extra documents (no longer than two pages each)  |